

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | 2011 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,372 | 10/31/2003 | Akio Yamada | | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 21003 75 | 90 05/25/2004 | | A36067 - 070793.0152 | 3043 |
| ,,, | O5/25/2004 BAKER & BOTTS | | EXAMINER | |
| 30 ROCKEFEL | LER PLAZA | | VU, HIEN D | |
| NEW YORK, 1 | NY 10112 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2833 | TATER NOMBER |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| Office Action Summary | 10/699,372 | YAMADA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Hien D. Vu | 2833 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| Status | | |
| 1)Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| l | action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | s, prosecution as to the ments is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | | the Examiner |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) he held in abevance | See 37 (CED 1 85/a) |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) | is objected to See 27 CER 4 404/4) |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached O | ffice Action or form PTO-152 |
| | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | 100 |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Appl | ication No |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priorit | y documents have been red | eived in this National Stage |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o | f the certified copies not rec | eived. |
| | | * |
| | · | |
| Attachment(s) | The same of the sa | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summ | nary (PTO-413) |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform | all Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/699,372

Art Unit: 2833

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 2. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kilsdonk et al or Welsh.

The disclosure of Kilodonk provides a complete response to each and every element set forth in the claim. For example fig. 1 shows a key comprising a substantially L-shaped block 2 made of a hard metal having a short arm 10 and a long arm 26 having at a substantially mid portion a removal preventing protrusion 18. Also, Welsh, fig. 2 shows a key 62x comprising a substantially L-shaped block 116 made of a hard metal having a short arm 128 and a long arm 90 having at a substantially mid portion a removal preventing protrusion 77.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams III in view of Hatch and Simmons et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,372

Art Unit: 2833

Page 3

Adams, III, fig. 1 shows a connector having a plurality of keys 10, 11, 12, an insulating block 2 having contacts 3, a metal shell 39 covering the block and a plurality of slots at the end of the block for receiving keys 10, 11, 12. Adams, III does not show the keys being L-shaped block having a removal preventing protrusions at a substantially mid portion of a long arm and the block being made of a hard metal.

Hatch, figs. 7 and 12 show a key 50 being L-shaped block having a short arm 87 and a long arm 84 having removal preventing protrusion 88 at at a substantially mid portion thereof. Also, Simmons, fig. 2 shows a key 43 being made of a hard metal. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to form the keys to be L-shaped with features as described above, as taught by Adams, III and Simmons, in order to provide better gripping and more strength for the keys.

As to claim 3, to form the outer surface of the metal shell with openings would have been an obvious of modification since such change would provide access to the removal preventing means for removing the keys.

- 6. Kielstera et al, Lindeberg et al, Lee and LeRoy et al are cited for disclosure of electrical keyed connectors.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien D Vu at telephone number (571) 272-2016.

HIEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER